



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

09/200,509 11/25/98 YELLOP

A 07703/280001

EXAMINER

PM82/0307

BARTUSKA, F

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

STEPHAN J FILIPEK  
FISH & RICHARDSON  
45 ROCKEFELLER  
NEW YORK NY 10111

3652

DATE MAILED: 03/07/00

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks**

# Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/200509

Applicant(s)

A.M. YELLOP et al

Examiner

F. J. BARTUSK

Group Art Unit

3652

—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address—

## Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).

## Status

- ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on Nov. 25, 1998
- ☐ This action is **FINAL**.
- ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, **prosecution as to the merits is closed** in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

## Disposition of Claims

- ☒ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.
- Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- ☒ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected.
- ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction or election requirement.

## Application Papers

- ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.
- ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved.
- ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 11-25-98 is/are objected to by the Examiner.
- ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

## Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)

- ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
- ☒ All ☐ Some\* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been received.
- ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) \_\_\_\_\_
- ☐ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\*Certified copies not received: \_\_\_\_\_

## Attachment(s)

- ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_
- ☒ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892
- ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948
- ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413
- ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152
- ☐ Other \_\_\_\_\_

Office Action Summary

Art Unit: 3652

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112*

1. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. These claims are indefinite because the body of the claims does not agree with the preamble of the claims. The preamble is directed to a method of validating articles of currency but no articles of currency validating steps are included in the body of the claims.

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102*

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 1-5, 7 and 10-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Best. Best shows a coin acceptance apparatus with two

Art Unit: 3652

acceptance bands K and K', which acceptance band a coin falls in will determine which band is used for the next coin.

4. Claims 1-5 and 9-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Dobbins et al. Notice col. 13, lines 15-54 which disclose that subsequent to issuing a valid coin signal the measured properties M are compared to a deviation limit DEV and an adjustment to the coin acceptance window can result.

5. Claims 12-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Waive et al. Notice that in the teach mode an inserted coin changes the coin acceptance window between a narrow and wide range for subsequent coins.

#### *Allowable Subject Matter*

6. Claims 6 and 8 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### *Drawings*


7. The drawings are objected to because the boxes in Figs. 3, 5, 7 and 8 used to show conventional features are not labeled. Correction is required.

Art Unit: 3652

*Conclusion*

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to F. J.

Bartuska at telephone number (703) 308-1111.

  
F. J. BARTUSKA 3/6/00  
PRIMARY EXAMINER